Accuracy of Ultrasound Scanning in Diagnosing Acute Appendicitis in Patients Admitted at Vicente Sotto Memorial Medical Center,
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Acute appendicitis is the most common abdominal surgical emergency. Both abdominal ultrasonography and computed tomography are common diagnostic tools in its diagnosis with each having its own advantages and disadvantages.

Methods: Patients of suspected acute appendicitis were evaluated with an ultrasound to see the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value of ultrasound for intraoperative appendicitis diagnosis. The study included 113 patients of suspected acute appendicitis presenting in the emergency during a one year duration. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value was calculated from their respective formulae.

Results: The majority of the patients were male patients between the age group of 18 to 30. The sensitivity of ultrasound for diagnosis of acute appendicitis was 96% and specificity was 33%. The positive predictive value was 98% and the negative predictive value was 20%

Conclusions: Ultrasound has good sensitivity and the low cost along with no radiation exposure makes this an acceptable screening investigative modality though due to low specificity, it would be recommended to go for a computed tomography scan if ultrasound shows negative result for appendicitis.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis is the most common abdominal surgical emergency.\textsuperscript{1} The typical clinical presentation of appendicitis is initial periumbilical abdominal pain which is progressively localized to a point in the right lower quadrant (RLQ) where the inflamed appendix irritates the parietal peritoneum. The pain is usually associated with fever, vomiting and leukocytosis.\textsuperscript{2} However patients with atypical presentations can cause diagnostic problems. The most difficult patients are women of child bearing age in whom ruptured ovarian cysts and pelvic inflammatory disease may mimic acute appendicitis.\textsuperscript{3}

Imaging for appendicitis allows for confirmation of the diagnosis prior to an invasive procedure. Plain x-ray-film show a very low diagnostic value for acute appendicitis.\textsuperscript{4} The two most common investigation used for diagnosis of acute appendicitis are abdominal ultrasound and abdominal computed tomography.\textsuperscript{5}

According to the ACR, computed tomography is the most accurate imaging study for evaluating suspected acute appendicitis. It is especially useful in those suspected of appendiceal perforation and is useful for characterizing peri-appendiceal inflammatory masses. Computed Tomography scanning is the gold standard for the diagnosis of appendicitis but it suffers from drawbacks like exposure to ionizing radiation.\textsuperscript{6}

Various proposed protocols have kept ultrasound as the first diagnostic modality with Computed Tomography scan being used only if the ultrasound is negative or equivocal for acute appendicitis.\textsuperscript{7, 8, 9} Graded-compression Ultrasound use in acute appendicitis has now increased dramatically.\textsuperscript{10,11} Meta-analysis and other studies show the sensitivity of ultrasound as 88% and specificity as 94% for children while for adults, the sensitivity is 83% and its specificity 93%.\textsuperscript{12-16}

This study would add on to the already accepted practice of using and training for ultrasound use in suspected acute appendicitis. In pregnant women, ultrasound is the preferred diagnostic modality with MRI being used in inconclusive cases.\textsuperscript{17}

The American College of Radiology (ACR) have published appropriateness criteria for right lower quadrant pain suggestive of appendicitis. In this criteria, ratings of 7 to 9 are considered "usually appropriate." Ultrasonography of the right lower quadrant with graded compression is rated 6.\textsuperscript{18} It has been shown that even non-radiologists can obtain specificities as high as radiologists with ultrasound.\textsuperscript{19}
Retrocecal position of the appendix results in missed diagnosis of acute appendicitis in about 30% of the cases. This can be partially overcome by scanning in the coronal plane to visualize posterior to the cecum. The objective of this study is to see the sensitivity and specificity of ultrasonography for use in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This was a retrospective cross-sectional diagnostic validation study conducted in Vicente Sotto Memorial Medical Center, Cebu city. A total of 113 patients with age range of 18-99 years old who present with suspected diagnosis of acute appendicitis and underwent ultrasonography prior to treatment in the ER from Feb 2015 to Feb 2016. Data was collected to include name, age, sex, ultrasound diagnosis, intraoperative diagnosis. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the local research council of the centre. Appendicitis was defined here as intraoperative finding of inflammation of the appendix resulting from obstruction of its lumen from stones, lymph node enlargement, etc.

Acute appendicitis was suspected on symptoms of right lower or periumbilical abdominal pain, fever and/or leukocytosis. Inclusion criteria was all patients admitted at Vicente Sotto Memorial Medical Center, Cebu city with age range of 18-99yrs old who presents with right lower or periumbilical abdominal pain, fever and/or leukocytosis at ER from Feb 2016 who are suspected of having acute appendicitis and undergo ultrasound before treatment is established while those with incomplete records and those who have already undergone appendectomy at the time of presentation was excluded.

Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of ultrasound for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis will be calculated using the following formula-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Disease present</th>
<th>Disease absent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity- \( \frac{a}{a+c} \)
Specificity- \( \frac{d}{b+d} \)
Positive predictive value- \( \frac{a}{a+b} \)
Negative predictive value- \( \frac{d}{c+d} \)

**Study limitations**

Use of ultrasound can result in the over-diagnosis of appendicitis. We can sometimes misinterpret the terminal ileum as the appendix while sometimes a normal appendix has been taken for an inflamed appendix. A gas-filled appendix can be misinterpreted as a small-bowel loop.

Factors such as obesity, severe pain or abdominal guarding, excessive bowel gas and an uncooperative patient can all affect the accuracy of the ultrasound due to the sonographer being unable to achieve adequate
compression of the study site. Operator experience can also affect the study result. Histo-pathological findings, which would be the gold standard for diagnosing appendicitis, has not been included here due to it being unavailable for some of our patients. Instead the diagnosis of appendicitis was made by intraoperative notes regarding finding of inflamed edematous sometimes suppurative perforated appendix.

RESULTS
Total of 113 patients met the inclusion criteria for the study. The age distribution of the patients showed predominance of young patients below 50 years of age. There were a total of 78 male patients versus 35 female patients.
The study showed a sensitivity of 96%, Specificity of 33%, Positive Predictive Value of 98% and a Negative Predictive Value of 20%.

Table 1. Data analysis- chart showing true positive of 106 and true negative of 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendicitis by intraoperative finding (+ve)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appendicitis by USG (+ve)</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not appendicitis by USG (-ve)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity- 106/110= 0.96;
Specificity- 1/3= 0.33;
Positive Predictive Value- 106/108= 0.98;
Negative Predictive Value - 1/5= 0.2

DISCUSSION
Acute appendicitis is the most common abdominal surgical emergency. The typical clinical presentation of appendicitis may not always be present and can cause diagnostic problems while other conditions mimic appendicitis like ruptured ovarian cysts and pelvic inflammatory disease. Diagnostic difficulties such as these highlight the role of imaging studies with abdominal ultrasound and abdominal computed tomography. Various studies have shown ultrasound to have comparable sensitivity and specificity.

Figure 1. Age distribution- total 113 patients with 62 patients between ages of 18 to 30, 36 between ages 31 to 50 and 15 greater than 51 years of age. The oldest patient was 72 years of age while the youngest was 18 years of age

Figure 2. Sex distribution- this study had a total of 78 male patients versus 35 female patients
compared to computed tomography besides other advantages like radiation and cost.\textsuperscript{21}

This study has shown a wide age distribution among the patients with the oldest patient being 72 years old. Patients younger than 18 years have been excluded to simplify the data collection process hence the difference from other studies where children as young as 3 years of age were diagnosed with appendicitis.\textsuperscript{6} This study also shows a higher male to female ratio which is similar to findings in other studies of similar population as well as amongst the western population.\textsuperscript{14}

This study has shown ultrasound of having high sensitivity (96\%) and positive predictive value (98\%) which is comparable to other similar studies.\textsuperscript{4}

This high sensitivity profile is comparable to that of contrast enhanced computed tomography (98\%) and better than non-contrast computed tomography (66\%)\textsuperscript{10} Acute appendicitis is a diagnosis where one would rather open up the patient and be wrong than wait and watch and risk perforation and peritonitis. The high sensitivity of ultrasound makes it a good investigation to rule in appendicitis which is the basis of various protocols that use ultrasound as the first investigative modality with follow up computed tomography in cases of equivocal or negative results.

This study shows a specificity of 33\% which is lower compared to other similar studies with some studies showing specificity as high as 94\%. This could be due to the following reasons-
- Limited experience of the resident ultrasonologist,
- Inadequate use of intravenous short acting analgesics during ultrasound in this facility. The inability to tolerate compression by the ultrasound probe may obscure the image, decreasing the accuracy of the scan due to bowel gas especially as these patients have not undergone any bowel preparation.

An experienced ultrasonologist could have better results in terms of specificity so a second look by a consultant ultrasonologist can be a recommended step if equivocal or negative results are obtained.

Multi-detector Computed tomography has been shown to have a high specificity of up to 98\%. One could argue that ideally a test with both high sensitivity and specificity like contrast enhanced computed tomography should be employed as the diagnostic modality of choice versus ultrasonography. It would diagnose as many cases as possible while at the same time limit the number of false positive resulting in decrease in unnecessary laparotomy. This reasoning does not take into consideration the cost of the investigation, the adverse effects of the investigation and
contrast. The patient must be able to firstly afford the investigation before he or she is able to do it. The radiation from computed tomography limits its use in pregnant patients and children. The use of contrast agents is associated with its own risk of adverse events important amongst them being anaphylaxis. For these particular subset of patients, ultrasound can definitely be taken as the first investigative modality of choice. This study is comparable to other studies where they have compared ultrasound diagnosis of appendicitis to intraoperative finding of acute appendicitis.22

CONCLUSION
Ultrasound has good sensitivity and the low cost along with no radiation exposure makes this an acceptable screening investigative modality though due to low specificity, it would be recommended to go for a computed tomography scan if ultrasound shows negative result for appendicitis. It can be used as a first line investigative modality for children, pregnant women and the financially weak patients.
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