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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Patient and family caregivers’ satisfaction is an essential measure of the quality of care and a determinant of health service utilization. Measuring family caregivers’ satisfaction with health service providers is important for understanding and improving the quality of care at health facilities. Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the satisfaction of family caregivers towards the communication of health service providers.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted by the development of a questionnaire at one of the tertiary care hospitals. Self-administered questionnaires related to demography and different dimensions on the satisfaction of caregivers towards the communication of health service provider was designed. Sixty caregivers were provided questionnaire and data was collected. Descriptive analysis, frequency, and percentage were calculated using SPSS 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA)

Results: The study showed that the majority of the caregivers (40%) were of the young age group (31-40 years). More than half (53%) of the caregivers were satisfied with different ways of communication about the patient's condition and treatment. In terms of communication mode, the majority of the caregivers were satisfied with related different ways of communication about the patient's condition and treatment (53.3%) followed by verbal (63.6 %) and non-verbal (50.6 %) respectively during their stay at the hospital.

Conclusion: The current study found that caregivers were satisfied with the communication provided by health service providers. This suggests that the treatment provided to patients might have better outcome measures. Additionally, it may help to reduce the anxiety among caregivers regarding the patients’ condition.
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INTRODUCTION

Family caregivers, also known as informal caregivers, are particularly important for caring and assisting individuals with acute and chronic conditions during hospitalization.1 The priorities are given to the role of family caregivers, as they are directly involved in the decision-making process with patients' nearest ones. This ensures adequate support to patients that are associated with preventing the patients from the possible worse outcomes. Although this responsibility is accompanied by feelings of burden and anxiety among the family caregivers, it also prevents leading to dissatisfaction with care.2 This concern is usually addressed by the health service providers by educating the patients and collaborating with the patients and family caregivers.3

The importance of proper communication during patient care is related to the improvement of the nurse-patient interpersonal relationship; however, collectively helps in satisfying the patients. Good nurse-patient communication brings positive treatment outcomes and patient’s perceptions of the quality of health care. This suggests that there is a strong link between proper communication and improved health outcomes for the patient.4,5 During the process of patient care, both verbal and nonverbal communication is equally important.6,7 Higher attention in patient satisfaction from the perspective of hospital care has been a topic of interest for many health researchers. There is an improvement in the level of satisfaction among patients with good staff-patient interpersonal relationships.8,9 Importantly, the issues of communication have been reported as complaints regarding medical doctors rather than their clinical competence. The diagnoses and treatment of the diseases should be dealt with proper communication.10,11 As proper communication is important for patient satisfaction, the health service provider needs to know the related factor that influences caregivers’ satisfaction towards communication. It is an important measure of the evaluation of a health service provider.12

Although studies are reporting the satisfaction level of patients with health service providers from Nepal,13-16 there is no evidence from Nepal reporting the level of family caregivers’ satisfaction from health service providers. As Nepal is a multilingual country, the communication level and interaction of health service providers and patients/caregivers might be different. Therefore, the present study mainly aimed to assess the satisfaction of the family caregivers’ towards the communication of health service providers.

METHODOLOGY

Study design, sample size calculation

A descriptive cross-sectional study was used to conduct the study comprising the patient’s
caregivers of the medical and surgical ward, whose individuals were admitted for 3-7 days at Kathmandu Model Hospital. This is the single-center study and family caregivers from in-patients, medical and surgical wards were only included. Non-probability convenient sampling technique was used to select the sample and sample size was calculated as 60 as calculated by Slovin’s formula\(^\text{17}\) based on total population size as 70 and with a margin of error as 5%. Caregivers who were children and elderly above 60 years were excluded from the study.

**Ethical considerations**

Ethical approval was obtained from the local research committee, Institutional review committee (IRC) of Kathmandu Model Hospital (Ref No: 03/BL/2018). Written consent was obtained from the hospital authority. Before the data collection, formal permission was obtained from each respondent and they were informed about the purpose and objectives of the study. Privacy and confidentiality were maintained by not disclosing the name of the participants and ensuring them, that collected information was used only for the research purpose. Human rights and justice were maintained as respecting all respondents with due respect.

**Research Instrumentation and Data Collection**

The instrument (structured interview questionnaire) was developed by the researcher after extensive literature review and consulting with a statistician, subject experts and based on the objectives of the study. Structured interview schedule was used containing two parts;

i) Socio-demographic information including age, sex, marital status, educational status, religion, ethnic group, current working status and financial status.

ii) question-related to communication and attitude.

**Validity and Reliability**

The validity of the structured questionnaire was maintained by developing the instrument based on objectives of the study, extensive literature review and consulting with a statistician, research and subject experts for accuracy and adequacy of the content. The questionnaire was developed in the English language and translated into Nepalese language to make it easy for the caregivers to answer. The reliability of the instrument tool was maintained by pretesting with 10.0% of the total sample size at Kirtipur Hospital. After pretesting, questions 2, 5 and 11 were simplified to make the questions easy for understanding for the respondents.

**Data analysis**

The collected data was edited, organized, coded and entered into SPSS Version 23
(SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for the analysis. The data were interpreted in terms of descriptive statistics such as frequency and percentage.

**RESULTS**

Most of the participants were female (53%), 31-40 years age group (40%), highest as having secondary level qualification (35%), speak the Nepali language (82%) and were from Newar caste (50%) and followed Hindu religion (85%) (Table 1).

**Socio-demographic information**

Table 1: Socio demographic information of respondents (n=60)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Number (N)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Findings on the satisfaction of caregiver explaining about patient's condition and treatment**

Overall, our result showed that half of the caregivers (53.3%) strongly agree that they understand the patient's condition and treatment after communicating with the doctors. This is followed by agree (43.0%), neutral (2.0%), disagree (1.4%) and strongly disagree (0.3%) options (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>It is easy to understand what the doctor is talking to me about my patient’s condition</td>
<td>45 (75.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The nurse explains the procedure before performing it. 37 (61.7) 21 (35.0) 1 (1.7) 1 (1.7) -

Nurse response my question properly when I ask about my patient condition. 25 (41.7) 32 (53.3) 1 (1.7) 1 (1.7) 1 (1.7)

Doctor response my question properly when I ask about my patient condition. 18 (30.0) 40 (66.7) 2 (3.3) - -

The doctor told me everything about my patient's condition. 35 (58.3) 21 (35.0) 2 (3.3) 2 (3.3) -

The overall score calculated from the responses showed that 63.6% agrees that they are satisfied with verbal responses provided to them by health service providers, followed by strongly agrees (25.8%), neutral (6.5%), disagree (3.1%) and strongly disagree options (1.0%) (Shown in Table 3).

Table 3: Satisfaction with the verbal response given to the caregivers on their concerns and queries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Doctor pay attention and listened very carefully to what I had to say</td>
<td>24 (40)</td>
<td>35 (58.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nurse pay attention and listened very carefully to what I had to say</td>
<td>24 (40)</td>
<td>33 (55.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nurse talking with me politely and respectfully</td>
<td>22 (36.7)</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>3 (5.0)</td>
<td>3 (5.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Doctor talk with me politely and respectfully</td>
<td>18 (30.0)</td>
<td>37 (61.7)</td>
<td>4 (6.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nurse give information to me about visiting an hour</td>
<td>10 (16.7)</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>14 (23.3)</td>
<td>5 (8.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The nurse keeps good eye contact and proper facial expression while talking with me</td>
<td>10 (16.7)</td>
<td>45 (75.0)</td>
<td>3 (5.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The nurse keeps good eye contact and proper facial expression while talking with me</td>
<td>10 (16.7)</td>
<td>45 (75.0)</td>
<td>3 (5.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The doctor keeps good eye contact and proper facial expression while talking with me

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>The doctor performs the good hand movement and body language while talking with me</td>
<td>6 (10.0)</td>
<td>50 (83.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>124 (25.8%)</td>
<td>305 (63.6%)</td>
<td>31 (6.5%)</td>
<td>15 (3.1%)</td>
<td>5 (1.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall score calculated from the responses showed that 50.6% agrees that they are satisfied with non-verbal responses provided to them by health service providers, followed by strongly agrees (36.3%), neutral (9.4%), disagree (3.1%) and strongly disagree (0.6%) options (shown in Table 4).

**Table 4: Satisfaction with non-verbal communication by the service providers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Nurse show patience while talking with my patient</td>
<td>24 (40)</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>3 (5.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nurse show an empathetic approach while talking to me.</td>
<td>11 (18.3)</td>
<td>33 (55.0)</td>
<td>8 (13.3)</td>
<td>8 (13.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nurse helps me to ask a question with a doctor</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>26 (43.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The nurse performs good ward orientation(Doctors round, Waste Disposal, Drinking water, Toilet)</td>
<td>30 (50.0)</td>
<td>23 (38.3)</td>
<td>5 (8.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>The nurse performs the good hand movement and body language while talking with me</td>
<td>9 (15.0)</td>
<td>36 (50.0)</td>
<td>14 (23.3)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>The doctor performs the good hand movement and body language while talking with me</td>
<td>7 (11.7)</td>
<td>40 (66.7)</td>
<td>12 (20.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>I am happy with the communication of the nurse of this hospital</td>
<td>32 (53.3)</td>
<td>27 (45.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>I am happy with the communication of the doctor of this hospital</td>
<td>31 (51.7)</td>
<td>28 (46.7)</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>174 (36.3%)</td>
<td>243 (50.6%)</td>
<td>45 (9.4%)</td>
<td>15 (3.1%)</td>
<td>3 (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 depicts that out of the total, 36.0% strongly agreed, 53.0% agreed, 7% were neutral whereas, 3% disagreed and 1% strongly disagreed on the statement.
Table 5: Overall agreements status with total positive statements on communication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agreement status</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

This study was conducted to assess the satisfaction level of caregivers towards the communication of health service providers at tertiary care hospital. The present study found that 40% of the age group of family caregivers were of 31-40 years. Johansson, P. et al. (2002)\(^1\) and Clack, G.B. et al. (2004)\(^1\) described that age of caregivers as important for his or her perception of satisfaction towards the communication of health service providers. Studies have evidenced that the increment in the young age group caregivers helps in the improvement of the health-related support services.\(^2\) We believe that the large participation of young age group as caregivers in the present study could be due to their high level of literacy than other age-group,\(^3\,\(^4\) their high level of understanding and communicating ability,\(^5\) and possibly may be due to their better health condition than any age-groups.\(^6\) The present study showed that the majority of respondents (81.7%) speak the Nepali language. Bartlett, G. et al. (2008)\(^7\) and Anoosheh, M. et al. (2009)\(^8\) stated that language barriers affect communication and that decreases the quality of care. The probable reason for the good level of satisfaction in the present study among caregivers with health care providers could be the understandable languages used during the communication. Studies have evidenced that there might be structural and socioeconomic barriers to access the health care services when there is no consistency in the language spoken by the patients and health care providers.\(^9\) There can be a chance of adverse events and medical errors when there is a language as a communication barrier between patients and health care providers.

The current study showed that most of the caregivers strongly agreed that the communication with the health service providers was needed for their satisfaction, i.e. related different ways of communication about the patient's condition and treatment (53.3%), verbal (63.6%) and non-verbal (50.6%). Hyrkas, K. et al. (2004)\(^10\), and Fleischer, S. et al. (2009),\(^11\) stated that all the interviewed patients described the characteristics of the nurse as objective, friendly, pleasant,
empathetic, good listening, helpful and caring. Ruiz-Moral, R., et al. (2006) stated that the level of satisfaction is affected by communication. Research has shown that proper communication with the patient is a crucial factor for enhancing patient satisfaction as well as better patient outcomes. The good level of satisfaction among caregivers with all modes of communication suggests the importance of all the modes of communication during the diagnoses, treatment and caring process during hospitalization for caregivers' satisfaction.

In the present study, 36.4% strongly agreed, 53.0% expressed as agreed and 3% disagreed and 1% strongly disagreed with an overall evaluation of communication. This shows that most of the caregivers were satisfied with the different ways of communication of health service providers during patients' hospitalization for a minimum of 3-7 days. Utley-Smith, Q. et al. (2009) stated that the problem of the relationship between doctor and patient included deserting the patient, devaluing patient’s or family’s view, delivering information poorly and failing to understand the patient’s and/or family's perspective of the feeling of being rush, being neglected and lack of test performance. Ruiz-Moral, R., et al. also stated that improved communication between provider and practitioner has been shown to result in good health outcomes of the patients. Therefore, there is a growing focus on improving the way that health service provider communicates and consult with families and caregivers. Therefore, the observation from the present study shows that health providers might be delivering the service properly to the patients. The health service provider needs to know what factors influence caregivers’ satisfaction towards communication. It is an important measure of the evaluation of a health service provider. Proper communication is an important aspect of patient care, which improves the nurse-patient relationship and has a profound effect on the patient’s perceptions of health care quality and treatment outcomes. It is the key element in providing high-quality nursing care and leads to patient satisfaction and health.

CONCLUSION

The current study concluded that the level of communication between health care providers and family caregivers. Communications play an important role and family caregivers were satisfied with the services provided by health care professionals of the hospitals. The study finding may be helpful to the administration for enhancing proper communication between staff and patients and to maintain the quality of communication between caregivers and health service providers.

Limitations of the study

The selection of samples with convenient sampling might affect the result of the present
study. The study was limited to Kathmandu Model Hospital only so the findings cannot be generalized to other settings and national levels. This is the single-center study and family caregivers from in-patients, medical and surgical wards were only included.
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